

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,722	08/20/2003	Kenneth Algiene	020375-038110US	8172		
20350 7550 077222008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			PRESTON, JOHN O			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
dia critati coloco, cirio crita dolla			3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/22/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/645,722 ALGIENE ET AL. Office Action Summary

	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		JOHN O. PRESTON	3691					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY. CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ chaons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SUC (i) MCNTHS from the making date of the communication. The communication of the communicatio	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ma	av 2008						
		action is non-final.						
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamonia	ion of Claims	•						
	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the a							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
		olocion roquiomoni.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sbr08)	5) Notice of Informal P						

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5). Notice of Informal Patent Application.	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1-17 and 19-21 are presented for examination. Applicant filed an amendment on May 12, 2008. Claim 18 was canceled. Claims 1, 5, 8-10, 14, 19, and 20 have been amended. Since the new grounds of rejection were necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claim(s), the

rejection of claims 1-17 and 19-21 is a final rejection of the claim(s).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3691

 Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chien (US 2001/0054003 A1).

Claim 1: Chien teaches the following limitation(s):

 a microprocessor based device communicably coupled to a communication network; (See at least Chien: page 4, pgh 33)

- a computer readable medium associated with the microprocessor based device, (See at least Chien: pg 4, pgh 33) wherein the computer readable medium includes instructions executable by the microprocessor based device to:
- receive a request for a first interface from a bid device; (See at least Chien: pg 2, pgh 13)
- serve the first interface to the bid device across the communication network, wherein the first interface is operable to receive a first input;
 (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13)
- receive a request for a second interface from a redemption device;
 (Chien, page 6, paragraph 49: the system and method refers to a computer used as a redemption device);
- serve the second interface to the redemption device across the communication network, wherein the second interface is operable to receive a second input; (Chien, page 7, paragraphs 50-51: participant connects to website through a communication network);
- allocate at least a portion of an elective balance to a redemption category based at least in part on the second input; (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52)
- calculate a redemption amount by multiplying the portion of the elective balance by the first input, (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52)

Art Unit: 3691

Chien does not explicitly teach the limitation wherein the redemption amount is of a same unit of measurement as the elective balance. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Chien so that the redemption amount and the elective balance would share the same unit of measure because the modification would provide the benefit of increased opportunities to expand product choice for consumers (See at least Chien: pq 1, pqh 7).

Claim 2: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 1. Chien further teaches the following:

the first input is a bid premium. (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13).

Claim 3: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 1. Chien further teaches the following:

- receive a request for a third interface; (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52)
- serve the third interface to the redemption device, wherein the third interface is operable to receive a third input; (Chien, page 7, paragraph 54)
- deduct an amount corresponding to the third input from the redemption amount, (Chien, page 7, paragraph 54)
- reduce the elective balance by an amount corresponding to the third input divided by the first input. (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52)

Claim 4: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 1. Chien further teaches the following:

 the redemption category is selected from a group consisting of: cash, a retail outlet, vacation time, additional sick days, and a service provider.
 (Chien, page 6, paragraph 50)

Claim 5: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 1. Chien further teaches the following limitation:

Art Unit: 3691

 receive a request for a third interface from a second bid device (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);

- serve the third interface to the second bid device across the communication network, wherein the third interface is operable to receive a third input (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);
- allocate a second portion of the elective balance to a second redemption category based at least in part on the fourth input (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52); and
- calculate a second redemption amount by multiplying the second portion
 of the elective balance by the third input (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Claim 6: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 1. Chien further teaches the following:

 allocate a remaining portion of the elective balance to a second redemption category (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Claim 7: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 6. Chien further teaches the following:

 the first redemption category is selected from a group consisting of: a retail outlet, vacation time, additional sick days, and a service provider (Chien, page 6, paragraph 50).

Claim 8: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 7. Chien further teaches the following:

a second redemption category is cash. (Chien, page 2, paragraph 15).

Claim 9: Chien teaches the following limitation(s):

- providing a first interface to a bid device across a communication network; (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);
- receiving a bid premium via the first interface; (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);

Art Unit: 3691

 providing a second interface to a redemption device; (Chien, page 7, paragraphs 50-51: participant connects to website through a network);

 calculating a redemption amount by multiplying the elective balance by the percentage of the elective balance and the bid premium. (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Chien does not explicitly teach the limitation of receiving a percentage number, wherein the percentage number represents a percentage of an elective balance via the second interface, wherein the percentage of the elective balance is associated with a redemption category. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Chien by incorporating said limitation because the modification would provide the benefit of an increased incentive for consumers to redeem awarded points for the product of their choice (See at least Chien: pq 1, pqh 7).

Claim 10: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 9. Chien further teaches the following limitation:

- providing a third interface to a second bid device across the communication network (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);
- receiving a second bid premium from the second bid device, wherein the second bid premium is associated with a second redemption category (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);

Chien does not explicitly teach the limitation of receiving a second percentage number, wherein the second percentage number represents a second percentage of the elective balance from the redemption device, wherein the second percentage of the elective balance is associated with the second redemption category. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Chien to incorporate said limitation because the modification would provide the benefit of

Art Unit: 3691

an increased incentive for consumers to redeem awarded points (See at least Chien: pg 1, pgh 7).

Claim 11: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 10. Chien further teaches the following:

 calculating a second redemption amount by multiplying the elective balance by the second percentage of the elective balance and the second bid premium (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Claim 12: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 9. Chien further teaches the following:

 allocating a remaining portion of the elective balance to cash. (Chien, page 2, paragraph 15).

Claim 13: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 9. Chien further teaches the following limitation:

 the redemption category is selected from a group consisting of: cash, a retail outlet, vacation time, additional sick days, and a service provider (Chien, page 6, paragraph 50).

Claim 14: Chien teaches the following limitation(s):

- providing an identification interface to a redemption device across a communication network (Chien, pages 6-7, paragraph 50);
- receiving an identification via the identification interface, wherein the identification indicates an elective account (Chien, pages 6-7, paragraphs 50-51);
- accessing a balance associated with the elective account (Chien, page 7, paragraph 51);
- providing an account interface including the balance to the redemption device via the communication network (Chien, page 7, paragraph 51);

Art Unit: 3691

 receiving an allocation selection from the redemption device, wherein the allocation selection indicates an amount of the balance to be associated with a redemption category (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Chien does not explicitly teach the limitations of providing a bid premium interface to a bid device via the communication network, wherein the bid premium interface comprises bid premium of a plurality of redemption categories; receiving a bid premium from the bid device, wherein the bid premium is associated with the redemption category; and calculating a redemption amount based at least in part on the bid premium. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Chien with said limitations above because the modification would provide the benefit of making incentive programs more attractive to consumers and expanding the product choices for loyalty program participants (See at least Chien: pg 1, pgh 7).

Claim 15: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 14. Chien further teaches the following:

- associating the amount of the balance with the redemption category
 (Chien, page 7, paragraph 51); and
- updating the account interface to indicate the amount of the balance associated with the redemption category (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Claim 16: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 15. Chien further teaches the following:

- the redemption category is selected from a group consisting of: cash, a retail outlet, vacation time, additional sick days, and a service provider (Chien, page 6, paragraph 50).
- Claim 17: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 15. Chien further teaches the following:

Art Unit: 3691

 allocating a remaining portion of the balance to cash (Chien, page 3, paragraph 15); and

 the redemption category is selected from a group consisting of: a retail outlet, vacation time, additional sick days, and a service provider (Chien, page 6, paragraph 50).

Claim 19: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 14. Chien further teaches the following:

- wherein the amount of the balance is a percentage of the balance (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52), and
- wherein calculating the redemption amount comprises: multiplying the balance by the percentage of the balance and the bid premium (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Claim 20: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 14. Chien further teaches the following:

- providing a second bid premium interface to a second bid device via the communication network (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13);
- receiving a second bid premium from the second bid device, wherein the second bid premium is associated with a second redemption category (Chien, page 2, paragraph 13); and
- receiving a second allocation selection from the redemption device, wherein the second allocation selection indicates a second amount of the balance to be associated with the second redemption category (Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).
- Claim 21: Chien teaches the limitation(s) as shown in the rejection of claim 20. Chien further teaches the following:

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/645,722

Art Unit: 3691

calculating a second redemption amount based at least in part on the

balance, the second bid premium, and the second allocation selection

(Chien, page 7, paragraph 52).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth

in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event of a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to John Preston, whose telephone number is (571) 270-3918. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

/John O Preston/

Examiner, Art Unit 3691

July 8, 2008

7.

/Alexander Kalinowski/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691